


1634

PLH

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail addressed to the:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.



DEC 19 2000

December 12, 2000

Date

Denise Ortega

Name

RECEIVED

Dec 26 2000

TECH CENTER 1600/2300

Denise Ortega
signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Bruce M. Bowman

Serial No.: 09/480,389

Group Art Unit: 1634

Filed : January 11, 2000

Examiner:

For : Immunoassays to Detect
Diseases or Disease
Susceptibility Traits

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 CFR SECTIONS 1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The accompanying PTO Form 1449 is submitted pursuant to 37 CFR Sections 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, directing Applicants to submit literature and information that may be considered material to the examination of the claims of an application. Applicants respectfully submit that this Supplementary Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) should be considered in accordance

with 37 CFR 1.97(b)(3), as it is being submitted "[b]efore the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits . . ." for the subject application, and that therefore, no fee is required for its consideration.

Applicants respectfully point out that the "filing of an information disclosure statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in Section 1.56(b)" [37 CFR Section 1.97(h)]; and that an information disclosure statement filed in accordance with 37 CFR Section 1.97 "shall not be construed as a representation that a search has been made." [37 CFR Section 1.97(g)]

Further, the identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and, Applicants respectfully submit, should not be construed as being, an admission that such a document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of the applicable laws, since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent, or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. Applicants respectfully conclude on this point that the "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

Thus, Applicants respectfully conclude that the citation of the reference herein is not intended to be an

admission that the reference is considered to be material or to constitute prior art, or that the references would be sufficient to render any of the claims of the above-identified patent application unpatentable.

Respectfully submitted



Leona L. Lauder
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 30,863

Dated: December 12, 2000